UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,542	09/22/2003	Robert Anthony DeLine	MS304414.1 /MSFTP464US	9926
	7590 11/02/200° CY & CALVIN, LLP	1	EXAMINER	
24TH FLOOR,	NATIONAL CITY CE	ENTER	YIGDALL, MICHAEL J	
1900 EAST NII CLEVELAND,			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2192	
		,	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/02/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com osteuball@thepatentattorneys.com

ηN	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/667,542		DELINE ET AL.		
Examiner		Art Unit		
	Michael J. Yigdall	2192		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 21 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) \(\sum \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other:

Continuation of 3.

The proposed amendments would change the scope of the claims. Specifically, the proposed amendments further define and limit the recited "specification" to one that is "specified at a source code level" (claim 15) or "source-level specified" (claims 20 and 23). Such limitations were not previously presented in the claims. Thus, the proposed amendments would require further consideration and/or search.

Furthermore, the proposed amendments would not place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. As set forth in the final Office action, claims 1-16, 22 and 23 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Applicant contends, "Systems, processes, and manufactures are by themselves statutory subject matter" (remarks, page 7). However, a "system" is not statutory subject matter if the "system" amounts to descriptive material per se. See MPEP § 2106.01. Applicant also disagrees that the recited "computer components" are described as software, and instead submits that the term "computer component" is intended to refer to "a computer-related entity, either hardware, a combination of hardware and software, software, or software in execution" (remarks, pages 8-9; emphasis added). Indeed, the recited "computer components" thus encompass components that are entirely software.

The proposed amendments to claims 1 and 15 further define the recited "systems" as "computing systems." However, as recited, the "computing systems" are reasonably interpreted as entirely software systems (i.e., descriptive material per se), and thus do not fall within any category of statutory subject matter. Likewise, while the proposed amendments to claims 22 and 23 introduce "a computer-readable transmission medium," the claims are still directed to "data packets" that amount to nonfunctional descriptive material. Moreover, Applicant's disclosure as originally filed does not provide proper antecedent basis for the term "transmission medium." See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Thus, the proposed amendments would not place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.

MY

TUAN DAM
OOM PATENT EXAMIN'